UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,803	09/23/2004	Nobuyuki Fujiwara	450100-04444	3946
William S From	7590 06/22/201 nmer	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawrence & Haug			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/508,803	FUJIWARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2424
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/18/10 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 6 is a computer-readable medium claim which is broadly defined on the specification as a signal (See page 7 lines 2-24). Claiming a signal is non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803 Page 3

Art Unit: 2424

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sumita (US 6,581,207 B1) in view of Lee et al (US 6,751,613 B1) in view of Fables et al (US 2002/0024532 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 5-6, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus, method, a recording medium which records a program in a computer-readable format, and a program which controls a computer to execute comprising: first generating means for generating a content information space based on content information and on additional information related to said content information (Information filtering unit (2) in figure 1 and figure 6); receiving means for receiving search information from an information processing terminal over a network (col.4, lines 40-50); first acquiring means for acquiring a search keyword from said search information received by said receiving means (Keyword Extraction (E3) in figure 8); searching means for searching a search-related information database for information related to said search keyword acquired by said first acquiring means (Find frequency of words contained in scenes to be processed (F2) in figure 10); second generating means for generating a search keyword space based on said related information retrieved by said

Art Unit: 2424

searching means and on said search keyword (Field Dictionary Section (147) in figure 21); comparing means for comparing information (Compute similarity to profile (G2) in figure 13) in said content information space generated by said first generating means with information in said search keyword space generated by said second generating means (Retrieve keywords associated with informed program from electric program guide information storage section (J1) in figure 16); preparing means for preparing a list of display-ready information from the information deemed to match as a result of the comparison by said comparing means; and transmitting means for transmitting said list of display-ready information prepared by said preparing means to said information processing terminal (Send channel-to-time information to equipment transmitting/receiving section (D7) in figure 6).

However, Sumita is silent in teaching to compare the results of the searches by said comparison means. Lee discloses a compare unit in (figure 1), that compares the keywords used for the search with the keywords for the feedback multimedia object. In addition, Lee also teaches correcting means for correcting (feedback of correct object in figure 1) and adding variable notations in the search keyword space (applicant shows that in paragraph (0108) of the specs the correcting process specifically involves adding as search related keywords data. Lee shows in (figure 1) that section (s105) adds keyword KW as a keyword for the multimedia object is all conditions are met).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a comparison search means. This is a useful combination because a system is capable of acquiring the closest relationship to a search by comparing user profiles.

However, Sumita and Lee are silent in teaching the added variable notations making at least two words consisting of different characters to be equivalent. Fables discloses on (page 2 paragraph (0023)) each keyword is associated with other words which are related keywords, subcategories, or further description for content. In addition, Fables teaches on (page 4 paragraphs (0083) and (0084)) an example of the search terms used such as TOYBOAT, REPAIR triggers outcomes of equivalent meanings such as MODEL, BOAT and a variety of related words. This means that Fables teaches a system which adds variable notations consisting of two words with different characters to be considered equivalent.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to add variable notations for matching words with same meanings and different characters. This is a useful combination because the system will intelligently filter and aggregate relevant keyword searches. In other words, when searching a word the system will identify equivalent words.

Regarding claim 2, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising updating means for updating said search-related information database on the basis of said content information space generated

by said first generating means (Store keyword-to-time information into program information storage).

Regarding claim 3, Lee discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said preparing means prepares said list of display-related information from the matching information (column 2 lines 32-39) derived from the comparison by said comparing means, in accordance with said search information (compare keyword in figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising second acquiring means (Add Keywords that are not contained in profile (J2) in figure 15) for acquiring said content information from another information processing apparatus over said network (Content Analyzing Section (14) in figure 2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803 Page 7

Art Unit: 2424

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424